IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.M.JAMES

WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST JANUARY 2007 / 11TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 2697 of 2006(U)

PETITIONER:

1. S.T. SAJEEV, S/O. THERKKAYIL BHASKARAN,
   KANIMANGALAM P.O., THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. BHASKARAN, S/O. THERKKAYIL VELAYUDHAN,
   KANIMANGALAM P.O., THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.RAVISANKAR

RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA CO-OPERATIVE TRIBUNAL,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. SPECIAL SALE OFFICER, VENGINISSERI
   SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.528,
   PARALAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

3. THE SECRETARY, VENGINISSERI SERVICE
   CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.528, PARALAM,
   THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.C.E.UNNIKRISHNAN for R3
SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI.P.K.RAVIKRISHNAN FOR R2

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 31/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 2697 of 2006(U)

A P P E N D I X

EXT.P1	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.4.2005 IN R.P.NO.393/2003 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT
EXT.P2	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THIS HONOURABLE COURT IN WPC NO. 36178/2005 DATED 23/12/2005.

\\TRUE COPY//

J.M.JAMES, J.

- -

W.P.(C) No. 2697 of 2006 (U)

- -

Dated this the 31st day of January, 2007

J U D G M E N T

There was no representation when the matter came up on 18/01/2007. Therefore, it was taken up for disposal on 24/01/2007. There was also no representation from the writ petitioners, when the writ petition came up for consideration on 24/01/2007. But the learned counsel appearing for respondents 2 and 3 submitted that no amount had been remitted as ordered by this Court on 30/01/2006. Therefore, I had adjourned the case to today. There is no representation today also. The learned counsel appearing for the third respondent reiterates that he had verified with the third respondent and that no amount has so far been paid, as ordered by this Court on 30/01/2006. The counsel also further submitted that there are number of writ petitions filed, which have been consequently dismissed at various stages. He gave the details of four such writ petitions -- W.P(C) Nos.2879/2006, 2865/2006, 2542/2006 and 2851/2006.

2. As the other side is not before this Court, I am not commenting on the writ petitioners, preferring different writ petitions and later on abandoning the same at various stages. However, in the above facts situation, I find that no orders are necessary in this writ petition. Hence the same is dismissed.

(J.M.JAMES)
Judge

ms